PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORRUS UMDER 28 U.S.C. 50 BY A PERSON IN STATE PRETRIAL DETENTION	CAUFILERIN OF PRAYS REFINE
BY A PERSON IN STATE PRETRIAL DETENTION	U.S.D.C. Atlanta
ADAM GARBER #199499879	JAN 1 4 2014 JAMES N. HATTEN, CIER
Butch Conway, Sheriff et al.	JAMES N. HATTEN, Clerk
VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	
Petition 1:15-C1	V-012 <b>3</b>
1. Name of facility where you are confined: Gwinnett County Ref Date your confinement began: 12-16-13	tention Facility
2. Name and county of court that made the decision you are	z challenging: MA
3. Case number: N/A	
4 Recision made by the court: NIA	
6. Are you represented by counsel in that case. N/	A
7. Vid you appeal the decison to a higher court: N	′1 ₳
8. After first appeal, did you file a second appeal to	o a higher Courto N
9 editer the second appeal, did you file a third appeal.	to a higher Court. NA
10. Not Applicable	
11. State Concisely every ground that supports your or your pretrial detention violates the United States Constituted Law.	lain that itution or other
A. Ground One: See Ground One Attached Pa	al
Supporting Facts: See Attached Page B. Ground TWO: See Ground Two Attached Pa Supporting Facts: See Attached page	

## GROUND ONE

Ground One: Denied my Kirst Amendment Right. Free speech Means nothing
if it does not incorporate the right to be informed, prison officials must
allow inmates access to print media such as newspapers, magazines, and books.
In Turner v. Safely, 482 U.S. 78, 107 S. Ct. 2054, 69 L. Ed. 2d 64 (987). A
regulation may be demonstrated as unreasonable or as an "exaggerated response"
to penal concerns if there exists a viable alternative regulation that
accommodates the rights of prisoners. Id., 484 U.S. at 90
In Procunier vo Martinez, 416 U.S. 396, 94 S.Ct 1800,40 L.Ed. 2d 224 (1974)
Prisoners are not stripped of constitutional protections at the prison.
gates. Prisoners retain all the rights of Free-world persons, except for
those rights taken expressly by law or that necessarily are implicated as
a consequence of legitimate penological objectives. A policy of restricting
speech merely because it is unflattering or unwelcome or that is obviously
inaccurate is contrary to United States notion free speech, and any
Prison policy so, prohibiting, the court imparted, must run afoul of the First Amandment of the United States Constitution
the tirst Amendment of the United States Constitution
supporting rocks. Venied access to print media, world news, newspapers,
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.
Supporting Facts: Venied access to print media, world news, newspapers, magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Venial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President. Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President. Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Venial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televired media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Tenial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Denial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televized media, and the President.  Venial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Venial of my First Amendment.
magazines, books, televised media, and the President.  Tenial of my First Amendment.

## GROUND TWO

GRONDTWO. In Bell v. Wolfish, 411 U.S. 500,99 S.Ct. 1861, 60 L.Ed. 2047 [979]. Pretrial detainess, "are protected by the fourteenth Amendmenth's Due Process Clause, as well as First and Eighth Amendments." Id., 441 U.S. at 535-537. Under the Due Process Clause, moreover, pretrail detainess retain at least those constitutional rights that are enjoyed by convicted prisoners. (id., at 545), and unlike convicted Prisoners, pretrail detainess may not be punished for crimes of which they have yet to be, or may not be, fand guitly, and therefore are protected against Jail conditions or restrictions that "amount to punishment." Id., 441 U.S. at 537
Supporting Facts Violating the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause, I am being punish as a pretrail detainer awaiting to go to trail.

17° N/Y
13. State exactly what you want this court to do. Please order Gwinnett County betentson Facility, which is pan by BUTCH (ONWAY, SHERIFF hereby Allow every inmate access to order all news related media, newspapers, maginines, and books. From Publisher Allow us to watch the world news, including things out of the State of Georgia, And the President.  Thank you for Stopping the purishment.
I declare under penalty of perdury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Signed on: 1-10-15
Ahn Gorbera Signature of Retitioner
Signature of Retitioner